

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,159	(	03/01/2004	Randy D. Sines	FL12-057	3431
39279	7590	08/11/2004		EXAMINER	
GREGORY	I.P. LAV	W	CHIU, RALEIGH W		
P.O. BOX 31	1090				
SPOKANE, WA 99223-3018				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3711	
				DATE MAIL ED. 09/11/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<del>//</del>	~
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/791,159	SINES, RANDY D.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Raleigh Chiu	3711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reeply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  (HS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	nis action is non-final.	•	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application	١.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		1	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		ov the Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		, ,	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	• •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<u> </u>			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority docume</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority docume</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure</li> </ul>	ents have been received. Ents have been received in Apriority documents have been	oplication No	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not r	eceived.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/791,159

Art Unit: 3711

### DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

## Priority

1. An application in which the benefits of an earlier application are desired must contain a specific reference to the prior application(s) in the first sentence of the specification or in an application data sheet (37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) and (a)(5)). The specific reference to any prior nonprovisional application must include the relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) between the applications except when the reference is to a prior application of a CPA assigned the same application number.

## Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Art Unit: 3711

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-70 of U.S. Patent No. 5,788,230. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they include the same common elements of a deflector pegs/maze, a ball ejector/object introducer, detectors, symbol selector and display. Regarding the symbol selector, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to allow each individual symbol to have the same frequency of association for each detector in order to insure the players are witness to a truly random event.
- 4. Claims 1-5 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3 of copending Application No.

  10/704,525. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the include the same common elements of a playing field, launcher/object introducer, a plurality of detecting positions/detectors, symbol selector and display. Regarding the symbol selector, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary

Application/Control Number: 10/791,159 Page 4

Art Unit: 3711

skill in the art to allow each individual symbol to have the same frequency of association for each detector in order to insure the players are witness to a truly random event.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

#### Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raleigh Chiu whose telephone number is (703) 308-2247. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich, can be reached on (703) 308-1513.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,159 Page 5

Art Unit: 3711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Raleigh W. Chiu

Primary Examiner Technology Center 3700

RWC:dei:feif 5 August 2004